PART III SEMINAR (LENT TERM): MODULI STACKS OF VECTOR BUNDLES

JONATHAN WANG

This talk is based on my Harvard senior thesis: arXiv:1104.4828.

1. Introduction

Let X be a smooth projective curve over \mathbb{C} (you can think of X as a Riemann surface in the analytic setting if you wish) throughout. Then there exists a smooth variety Pic_X over \mathbb{C} whose \mathbb{C} -points are the isomorphism classes of line bundles on X. More generally, for any scheme (variety) S over \mathbb{C} , the set $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(S,\operatorname{Pic}_X)$ corresponds to a sheafification of the line bundles on the family of curves $X \times S$ (the Picard group). This is an example of a moduli problem: studying a class of objects (line bundles) on families of schemes (curves). In this case, the Picard scheme Pic_X is the fine moduli space of line bundles.

We will be considering the moduli problem of vector bundles of a fixed rank r on $X \times S$ as S varies. Pullback makes this a "presheaf" on the category of **Sch**. But what category does this functor go to? Vector bundles have many nontrivial automorphisms $(\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{O}^r) = \operatorname{GL}_r)$, so we shouldn't just ignore these. To account for this, we define a presheaf $\operatorname{Bun}_r : \operatorname{\mathbf{Sch}}^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{\mathbf{Gpd}}$ to the 2-category of groupoids. Since you can glue compatible vector bundles together, this presheaf is a sheaf/stack. The goal of this talk will be to outline/convince you that this sheaf has geometric properties which makes it behave almost like a scheme, i.e., Bun_r is an algebraic stack [Beh91, Bro10, Ols06, Sor00].

This result is important because once we know Bun_r is algebraic, one can define quasi-coherent sheaves and \mathcal{D} -modules on it, just as with schemes. The geometric Langlands program studies the correspondence between \mathcal{D} -modules on Bun_r (\leftrightarrow automorphic forms) and local systems (rank r vector bundles with flat connections) on X (\leftrightarrow Galois representations), and this correspondence has deep connections to both number theory (classical Langlands) and quantum physics (cf. [Fre10]).

2. Algebraic stacks

For $S \in \mathbf{Sch}$, let $\mathrm{Bun}_r(S)$ be the groupoid consisting of vector bundles $\mathcal E$ of rank r on $X_S := X \times S$, where morphisms are isomorphisms of vector bundles over X_S . Remark: vector bundles (as schemes over X) are equivalent to locally free sheaves on X. If we have a map $f: T \to S$, then the pullback $f^*\mathcal E$ is a vector bundle on X_T . This makes Bun_r into a presheaf of groupoids: this means that pullbacks and compositions of maps are compatible in some reasonable way.

Date: March 15, 2012.

- 2.1. **Stacks.** It is a classical result that if you have an étale covering $\{U_i \to S\}$ (open covering in the analytic topology) and vector bundles \mathcal{E}_i on X_{U_i} that "agree" on overlaps, then there exists a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X_S such that $\mathcal{E}|_{X_{U_i}} = \mathcal{E}_i$. We say that $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}$ descends to \mathcal{E} . In other words, vector bundles glue. So Bun_r is a "sheaf of groupoids", or a stack [FGI+05], with respect to the étale topology.
- 2.2. **Algebraic stacks.** There are certain conditions that one wants a stack to satisfy so that it is sufficiently geometric, i.e., behaves like a scheme. For stacks whose associated groupoids have large automorphism groups, the notion is called an *algebraic*, or Artin, stack [LMB00, Sta].

First, note that any sheaf of sets is a stack. So by the Yoneda embedding, any scheme can be considered as stack. We will use S to denote both the scheme and the corresponding sheaf. Let \mathcal{Y} be a stack $\mathbf{Sch}_{et}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Gpd}$. By a 2-categorical version of Yoneda, a map $S \to \mathcal{Y}$ is equivalent to an object of $\mathcal{Y}(S)$. For $S_i \in \mathbf{Sch}$ and $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}(S_i)$ for i = 1, 2, we define the stack fibred product $S_1 \times_{\mathcal{Y}} S_2 : \mathbf{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Gpd}$ by

$$(S_1 \underset{\mathcal{Y}}{\times} S_2)(T) = \{ f_i : T \to S_i, \ \alpha : f_1^*(y_1) \simeq f_2^*(y_2) \}$$

We say that a stack is *schematic* if it is isomorphic to a scheme (via Yoneda). Now y is algebraic if:

- (1) For any $S_i \in \mathbf{Sch}$, the fibred product $S_1 \times_{\mathcal{Y}} S_2$ is schematic¹
- (2) There exists a scheme U mapping to \mathcal{Y} such that for any $S \in \mathbf{Sch}$, the base change $U \times_{\mathcal{Y}} S \to S$ is a smooth surjective map of schemes.

So now we can state the main result:

Theorem 1. The stack Bun_r is algebraic.

3. BGL_r

Before considering vector bundles on a family of curves, let's consider a simpler problem: We define presheaf $\mathbf{Sch}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathbf{Gpd}$ by $B\mathrm{GL}_r(S)$ to be the groupoid of vector bundles of rank r on S (compare with Bun_r : which is vector bundles over X_S).

Theorem 2. The presheaf $B\operatorname{GL}_r$ is an algebraic stack. The map from a point $\cdot \to B\operatorname{GL}_r$ corresponding to \mathbb{C}^r is smooth and surjective: in fact for $\mathcal{E} \in B\operatorname{GL}_r(S)$, we have $\cdot \times_{B\operatorname{GL}_r} S \simeq \operatorname{Isom}_S(\mathbb{O}^r, \mathcal{E})$ over S.

As a toy example, let us compute $\cdot \times_{B\operatorname{GL}_r}$. This stack sends S to an automorphism of \mathcal{O}_T^r , i.e., an element of $\operatorname{GL}_r(T)$. Therefore the fibred product is just GL_r in this case. For general $\mathcal{E} \in B\operatorname{GL}_r(S)$, we trivialize \mathcal{E} locally, and then we must check that the GL_r glue together properly to give $\operatorname{Isom}_S(\mathcal{O}^r, \mathcal{E})$.

Since $\cdot \to B\operatorname{GL}_r$ is surjective, we can think of it as a quotient $[\cdot/\operatorname{GL}_r]$ where \cdot has trivial G-action. More generally, we can consider stacks [Z/G] where Z is a scheme with some G-action and G is an algebraic group. One can then describe relationships between these quotients when you change the space and/or the group.

¹We actually only require the fibred product to be representable by an algebraic space, but our fibred products will satisfy this nicer condition.

4. Hom as a scheme

For a stack \mathcal{Y} , we can define another stack $\operatorname{Hom}(X,\mathcal{Y})$ sending S to the groupoid $\mathcal{Y}(X_S)$. Notice that with this definition, we get $\operatorname{Bun}_r = \operatorname{Hom}(X, B\operatorname{GL}_r)$. So before thinking about $\operatorname{Hom}(X,\mathcal{Y})$ in general, let's consider the easier problem of when $\mathcal{Y} = Y$ is a scheme: then $\operatorname{Hom}(X,Y) = \operatorname{Hom}(X_S,Y) = \operatorname{Hom}_X(X_S,X\times Y)$. This motivates the following definition: given a map of schemes $Z \to X$, let $\operatorname{Sect}(X,Z)$ be the sheaf of sets sending $S \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_X(X_S,Z)$.

Theorem 3. If $Z \to X$ is quasi-projective, then Sect(X, Z) is representable by a disjoint union of quasi-projective schemes over \mathbb{C} .

This theorem is where much of the work goes into proving condition (1) of algebraicity for Bun_r . The proof involves using Grothendieck's Hilbert schemes [FGI⁺05]. When $Z \to X$ is projective, the idea is that a section $X_S \hookrightarrow Z_S$ corresponds uniquely to a closed subscheme, so $\operatorname{Sect}(X,Z)$ is some subscheme of the Hilbert scheme.

5. Presentation of Bun_r

Once we have condition (1) of algebraicity, all that is left is (2) to find a presentation of Bun_r , i.e., some scheme U, which will actually be locally of finite type over \mathbb{C} , with a smooth surjective morphism to Bun_r . This involves thinking about trivializations of vector bundles and Hilbert polynomials. As with any kind of representability result, this will again involve the Quot scheme.

Fix a very ample line bundle O(1) on X. We define the substack

$$\mathcal{U}_n \subset \mathrm{Bun}_r$$

with $\mathcal{U}_n(S)$ consisting of the vector bundles \mathcal{E} on X_S such that $H^1(X_s, \mathcal{E}_s(n)) = 0$ and $\mathcal{E}_s(n)$ is generated by global sections, where $\mathcal{E}_s = \mathcal{E} \otimes k(s)$ is the pullback to the fiber $X_s := X_{\operatorname{Spec} k(s)}$. (These conditions are essentially to ensure base change and cohomology are compatible.) By Serre's theorem on quasi-coherent sheaves on projective space, these \mathcal{U}_n form an open covering of Bun_r , so it suffices to look at each \mathcal{U}_n . To save notation, we'll just consider $\mathcal{U} := \mathcal{U}_0$. Note that $H^0(X_s, \mathcal{E}_s)$ is a finite dimensional k(s)-vector space. By the upper semi-continuity theorem, the dimension is locally constant in s. So $\mathcal{U} = \sqcup \mathcal{U}^d$ where d is this dimension of the space of global sections. Fix d and consider the stack

$$y \rightarrow 11^d$$

where $\mathcal{Y}(S)$ has objects $(\mathcal{E}, x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ consisting of a vector bundle \mathcal{E} and sections $x_i \in H^0(X_S, \mathcal{E})$ such that the sections restrict to a basis on each fiber. Since \mathcal{U}^d essentially just forgets about the choice of a basis, the fibers of $\mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{U}^d$ are just GL_d . (In fact, we have $\mathcal{U}^d = [\mathcal{Y}/\mathrm{GL}_d]$.) Now \mathcal{Y} has just enough structure on it that it is representable by a quasi-projective **C**-scheme! So if we do this over all n, d, we get a smooth surjection $\sqcup \mathcal{Y}_n^d \to \mathrm{Bun}_r$. This proves condition (2) and hence Theorem 1.

Example 4. If r=1, then Bun_1 is the Picard stack. Since X always has a k-point, we in fact have an isomorphism $B\mathbf{G}_m \times \operatorname{Pic} X \simeq \operatorname{Bun}_1$. This case is special since $\operatorname{GL}_1 = \mathbf{G}_m$ is abelian. So Bun_r can be seen as a non-abelian generalization of the Picard stack.

References

- [Beh91] Kai Achim Behrend. The Lefschetz trace formula for the moduli stack of principal bundles. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1991. Thesis (Ph.D.)-University of California, Berkeley.
- [Bro10] M. Broshi. G-torsors over Dedekind schemes. ArXiv e-prints, January 2010.
- [FGI+05] Barbara Fantechi, Lothar Göttsche, Luc Illusie, Steven L. Kleiman, Nitin Nitsure, and Angelo Vistoli, Fundamental algebraic geometry, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 123, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005, Grothendieck's FGA explained.
- [Fre10] Edward Frenkel, Gauge theory and Langlands duality, Astérisque (2010), no. 332, Exp.
 No. 1010, ix-x, 369-403, Séminaire Bourbaki. Volume 2008/2009. Exposés 997-1011.
- [LMB00] Gérard Laumon and Laurent Moret-Bailly, Champs algébriques, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 39, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [Ols06] Martin C. Olsson. <u>Hom</u>-stacks and restriction of scalars. *Duke Math. J.*, 134(1):139–164, 2006.
- [Sor00] Christoph Sorger. Lectures on moduli of principal G-bundles over algebraic curves. In School on Algebraic Geometry (Trieste, 1999), volume 1 of ICTP Lect. Notes, pages 1–57. Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2000.
- [Sta] Stacks project, http://math.columbia.edu/algebraic_geometry/stacks-git.